

# REGULATORY SERVICES COMMITTEE 17 July 2014



Subject Heading:

Report Author and contact details:

Policy context:

Financial summary:

P1583.13 - Land Adj 32 Hamilton Avenue, Romford - New 3 bedroom dwelling (received 21/01/14; amended plans received 17/03/14)

Suzanne Terry Interim Planning Manager <u>suzanne.terry@havering.gov.uk</u> 01708 432755

Local Development Framework The London Plan National Planning Policy Framework

None

#### The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Clean, safe and green borough[x]Excellence in education and learning[]Opportunities for all through economic, social and cultural activity[]Value and enhance the life of every individual[x]High customer satisfaction and a stable council tax[]

#### SUMMARY

This application is put before Members as there are a number of issues to be balanced as detailed in the report. The application proposes the erection of 3bed two-storey end of terrace dwelling with associated parking. The planning issues are set out in the report below and cover the principle of the development, impact on streetscene, residential amenity and highways/parking. Staff consider the proposal to be acceptable.

The application is recommended for approval subject to conditions and the applicant entering into a Section 106 Agreement.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed development is liable for the Mayor's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in accordance with London Plan Policy 8.3. The applicable fee is based on the increase in the internal gross floor area which amounts to 45.3m<sup>2</sup> and equates to a Mayoral CIL payment of £906.

That the proposal is unacceptable as it stands but would be acceptable subject to the applicant entering into a Section 106 Legal Agreement under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), to secure the following:

- A financial contribution of £6,000 to be paid prior to commencement of development and to be used towards infrastructure costs.
- All contribution sums shall include interest to the due date of expenditure and all contribution sums to be subject to indexation from the date of completion of the Section 106 agreement to the date of receipt by the Council.
- To pay the Council's reasonable legal costs in association with the preparation of a legal agreement, prior to completion of the agreement, irrespective of whether the legal agreement is completed.
- Payment of the appropriate planning obligation/s monitoring fee prior to completion of the agreement.

That staff be authorised to enter into a legal agreement to secure the above and upon completion of that agreement, grant planning permission subject to the conditions set out below:

1. Time Limit: The development to which this permission relates must be commenced not later than three years from the date of this permission.

**Reason:** To comply with the requirements of section 91 of the Town and Country Act 1990.

2. Accordance with plans: The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out otherwise than in complete accordance with the approved plans listed on page 1 of this decision notice.

**Reason:** The Local Planning Authority consider it essential that the whole of the development is carried out and that no departure whatsoever is made from the details approved, since the development would not necessarily be acceptable if partly carried out or carried out differently in any degree from the details submitted. Also, in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

3. Parking layout: Notwithstanding the details submitted, before the building hereby permitted is first occupied, details of the parking layout shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning. Provision shall be made for 3 off-street car parking spaces within the site and thereafter this provision shall be made permanently available for use, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** To ensure that adequate car parking provision is made off street in the interests of highway safety.

4. Materials: Before any of the development hereby permitted is commenced, samples of all materials to be used in the external construction of the building(s) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and thereafter the development shall be constructed with the approved materials.

**Reason:** To ensure that the appearance of the proposed development will harmonise with the character of the surrounding area and comply with Policy DC61 of the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document.

5. Landscaping: No development shall take place until there has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority a scheme of hard and soft landscaping, which shall include indications of all existing trees and shrubs on the site, and details of any to be retained, together with measures for the protection in the course of development. All planting, seeding or turfing comprised within the scheme shall be carried out in the first planting season following completion of the development and any trees or plants which within a period of 5 years from completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** In accordance with Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to enhance the visual amenities of the development, and that the development accords with the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61

6. Standard flank wall condition: Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995(or any order revoking and/or re-enacting that Order with or without modification), no window or other opening (other than those shown on the submitted and approved plans,) shall be formed in the flank wall(s) of the building(s) hereby permitted, unless specific permission under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 has first been sought and obtained in writing from the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** In order to ensure a satisfactory development that will not result in any loss of privacy or damage to the environment of neighbouring properties which exist or may be proposed in the future, and in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

7. Cycle storage: Prior to completion of the works hereby permitted, cycle storage of a type and in a location previously submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority shall be provided and permanently retained thereafter.

**Reason:** In the interests of providing a wide range of facilities for nonmotor car residents, in the interests of sustainability.

8. Hours of construction: All building operations in connection with the construction of external walls, roof, and foundations; site excavation or other external site works; works involving the use of plant or machinery; the erection of scaffolding; the delivery of materials; the removal of materials and spoil from the site, and the playing of amplified music shall only take place between the hours of 8.00am and 6.00pm Monday to Friday, and between 8.00am and 1.00pm on Saturdays and not at all on Sundays and Bank Holidays/Public Holidays.

**Reason:** To protect residential amenity, and in order that the development accords with the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

- 9. Construction Method Statement: Before commencement of the proposed development, a scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority making provision for a Construction Method Statement to control the adverse impact of the development on the amenity of the public and nearby occupiers. The Construction Method statement shall include details of:
  - a) parking of vehicles of site personnel and visitors;
  - b) storage of plant and materials;
  - c) dust management controls;
  - d) measures for minimising the impact of noise and, if appropriate,
  - vibration arising from construction activities;

e) predicted noise and, if appropriate, vibration levels for construction using methodologies and at points agreed with the Local Planning Authority;

f) scheme for monitoring noise and if appropriate, vibration levels using methodologies and at points agreed with the Local Planning Authorities;g) siting and design of temporary buildings;

h) scheme for security fencing/hoardings, depicting a readily visible 24hour contact number for queries or emergencies;

i) details of disposal of waste arising from the construction programme, including final disposal points. The burning of waste on the site at any time is specifically precluded.

And the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme and statement.

**Reason:** To protect residential amenity, and in order that the development accords the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

10. Highway Agreements: The necessary agreement, notice or licence to enable the proposed alterations to the Public Highway shall be entered into prior to the commencement of the development.

**Reason:** To ensure the interests of the travelling public and are maintained and comply with policies of the Core Strategy and Development Control Policies, namely CP10, CP17 and DC61.

12. Refuse and recycling: Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted, provision shall be made for the storage of refuse and recycling awaiting collection according to details which shall previously have been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** In the interests of amenity of occupiers of the development and also the visual amenity of the development and the locality generally, and in order that the development accords with the LDF Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61

13. Soil testing: Before any part of the development is occupied, site derived soils and/or imported soils shall be tested for chemical contamination, and the results of this testing together with an assessment of suitability for their intended use shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, all topsoil used for gardens and/or landscaping purposes shall in addition satisfy the requirements of BS 3882:2007 "Specification of Topsoil".

**Reason:** To ensure that the occupants of the development are not subject to any risks from soil contamination in accordance with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC53.

14. Permitted Development rights: Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 ("the 1995 Order) (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification) no extensions, roof extensions, roof alterations or outbuildings shall take place unless permission under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 has first been sought and obtained in writing from the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** In the interests of amenity and to enable the Local Planning Authority to retain control over future development, and in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

15. Screen fencing: Prior to the commencement of the development, all details of boundary screening shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority the approved details shall be implemented immediately on approval and shall be permanently retained and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** To protect the visual amenities of the development and to prevent undue overlooking of adjoining properties.

16. Sound insulation: The building(s) shall be so constructed as to provide sound insulation of 45 DnT,w + Ctr dB (minimum value) against airborne noise to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

**Reason:** To prevent noise nuisance to adjoining properties.

#### INFORMATIVES

1. Fee Informative:

A fee is required when submitting details pursuant to the discharge of conditions. In order to comply with the Town and Country Planning (Fees for Applications, Deemed Applications, Requests and Site Visits) (England) Regulations 2012, which came into force from 22.11.2012, a fee of £97 per request or £28 where the related permission was for extending or altering a dwellinghouse, is needed.

2. Planning Obligations

The planning obligations recommended in this report have been subject to the statutory tests set out in Regulation 122 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 and the obligations are considered to have satisfied the following criteria:-

- (a) Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
- (b) Directly related to the development; and
- (c) Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

- 3. The applicant is advised that planning approval does not constitute approval for changes to the public highway. Highway Authority approval will only be given after suitable details have been submitted, considered and agreed. Any proposals which involve building over the public highway as managed by the London Borough of Havering, will require a licence and the applicant must contact StreetCare, Traffic and Engineering on 01708 433750 to commence the Submission / Licence Approval process.
- 4. The developer, their representatives and contractors are advised that planning permission does not discharge the requirements under the New Roads and Street Works Act 1991, the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the Traffic Management Act 2004. Formal notifications and approval will be needed for any highway works (including temporary works) required during the construction of the development.
- 5. The applicant is advised that if construction materials are proposed to be kept on the highway during construction works then they will need to apply for a license from the Council.
- 6. With regards to surface water drainage it is the responsibility of the developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, water courses or a suitable sewer. In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of Ground Water. Where the developer proposes to discharge to a public sewer, prior approval from Thames Water Developer Services will be required. They can be contacted on 0845 850 2777.
- 7. Pursuant to the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework responsibility for securing a safe development rests with the developer and/or landowner. It is recommended that a watching brief is implemented for the presence of any land contamination throughout the life of the development. In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the development it should be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must then be undertaken and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, implemented and verified in accordance with current best practice and submitted to the Local Planning Authority.
- 8. Statement Required by Article 31 (cc) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management) Order 2010: No significant problems were identified during the consideration of the application, and therefore it has been determined in accordance with paragraphs 186-187 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012.

### Mayoral CIL

The proposed development is liable for the Mayor's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in accordance with London Plan Policy 8.3. The applicable fee is based on the increase in the internal gross floor area which amounts to 45.3m<sup>2</sup> and equates to a Mayoral CIL payment of £906 (subject to indexation).

**REPORT DETAIL** 

#### 1. Site Description

- 1.1 The subject property is currently occupied by a two-storey semi-detached dwelling with existing parking for approximately 3 vehicles on a hardstanding to the front of the dwelling. It presently has a single storey side extension, which extends up to the boundary with No. 30 Hamilton Avenue.
- 1.2 The proposal would result in the removal of 2 small trees to the front of the existing dwelling. The existing dwelling has a tiled finish at first floor and render at ground floor. The ground is relatively level.
- 1.3 The surrounding area is characterised by similar two-storey semi-detached and terraced dwellings.

#### 2. Description of Proposal

- 2.1 The Council is in receipt of a planning application seeking permission for a new 3-bedroom end of terrace dwelling to the south-eastern side of the subject dwelling.
- 2.2 The new dwelling would replace the existing single storey side extension and measures 6.6m in width and 7.2m in depth. The proposed dwelling would have a hipped roof to an overall height of 8m to the ridge. The new dwelling would consist of a kitchen, lounge, w.c and dining room at ground floor and three bedrooms and a bathroom at first floor.
- 2.3 The proposal would form an end of terrace dwelling and would abut the south-eastern boundary. The site would provide 1 No. off-street parking space to the front of the donor property and 2 No. spaces to the front of the new dwelling.
- 2.4 Amenity space would be provided towards the rear of the donor property as well as the new dwelling. The donor property would retain 35m<sup>2</sup> of amenity space with an average rear garden depth of 6.5m and the proposed

dwelling would have amenity space of 51.4m<sup>2</sup> with an average rear garden depth of approximately 7.8m.

### 3. History

3.1 No relevant history.

## 4. Consultation/Representations

- 4.1 Notification letters were sent to 38 neighbouring properties and 9 letters of objection were received raising the following concerns:
  - reduce the value of the neighbouring property
  - not in keeping with semi-detached houses in the road
  - amenity space too small
  - lack of sufficient parking
  - sewerage will not be able to cope
  - no space for rubbish disposal
  - will add to the existing parking problem in the road
  - increase local air and noise pollution
  - detrimental to outlook

- result in more problems with people parking on the street making it difficult for emergency vehicles to access.

- loss of light

- 4.2 The Council's Environmental Health Service raised no objection to the proposal but require a condition for soil testing to be done for chemical contamination. Environmental Health also request sound insulation and limited construction hours conditions.
- 4.3 The Highway Authority has no objection to the proposals.

#### 5. Relevant Policies

- 5.1 Policies CP1 (Housing Supply), CP17 (Design), DC3 (Housing Design and Layout), DC33 (Car parking), DC35 (Cycling), DC55 (Noise), DC61 (Urban Design), DC63 (Crime) and DC72 (Planning Obligations of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy and Development Control Policies Development Plan Documents and the Residential Extensions and Alterations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), Planning Obligations SPD and the Residential Design SPD are also relevant.
- 5.2 Policies 3.3 (Increasing Housing Supply), 3.4 (Optimising Housing Potential), 3.5 (Quality and Design of Housing Developments), 3.8 (Housing Choice), 6.9 (Cycling), 6.10 (Walking), 6.13 (Parking), 7.1 (Building London's Neighbourhoods and Communities), 7.2 (Inclusive Design), 7.3 (Designing out Crime), 7.4 (Local Character), 7.5 (Public Realm), 7.6 (Architecture) of the London Plan (2011).
- 5.3 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Section 6 "Delivering a wide Choice of Homes", and Section 7 "Requiring Good Design".

### 6. Staff comments

6.1 This proposal is put before the Committee owing to a number of balanced issues associated with the application. The main issues to be considered by Members in this case are the principle of development, the site layout and amenity space, design/street scene issues, amenity implications, and parking and highways issues.

## 6.2 *Principle of Development*

- 6.2.1 Policy CP1 indicates that outside town centres and the Green Belt, priority will be made on all non-specifically designated land for housing. The proposal is for development on an existing residential site in a residential area. The proposal is therefore acceptable in principle and in accordance with Policy CP1 and policy 3.3 of the London Plan which seeks to increase London's housing supply.
- 6.2.2 Policy 3.8 of the London Plan states that DPD policies should offer a range of housing choices, in terms of the mix of housing sizes and types, taking account of the housing requirements of different groups. Policy 3.5 states that Local Development Frameworks should incorporate minimum space standards. The Mayor has set these at 87m<sup>2</sup> for a 3-bed 4-person dwelling. The proposal has an internal floor space of approximately 85m<sup>2</sup> which is only slightly below the requirement. The internal layout is however functional and given the marginal degree of shortfall is considered acceptable.
- 6.3 Site Layout / Amenity Space
- 6.3.1 The Council's Residential Design SPD in respect of amenity space recommends that every home should have access to suitable private and/or communal amenity space in the form of private gardens, communal gardens, courtyards, patios, balconies or roof terraces. In designing high quality amenity space, consideration should be given to privacy, outlook, sunlight, trees and planting, materials (including paving), lighting and boundary treatment. All dwellings should have access to amenity space that is not overlooked from the public realm and this space should provide adequate space for day to day uses.
- 6.3.2 The existing plot will be sub-divided to create 2 separate plots for the existing and proposed dwellings. The proposed sub-division of the existing garden would result in an amenity space of approximately 35m<sup>2</sup> to No. 32 and 51m<sup>2</sup> to the new dwelling. Although the proposed sub-division of the existing garden would result in small amenity areas to both the donor and new property, Staff area of the opinion that the proposed amenity space would, on balance be usable and acceptable. Future occupiers would be aware of the limited amenity space availability. Members may however judge the amenity provision to be unacceptable and out of keeping with that which is provided to existing dwellings in the surrounding area. Members may further view the lack of sufficient amenity space as evidence

of a cramped overdevelopment of the site and may wish to refuse the application on these grounds.

- 6.3.3 Amenity provision in the locality is generally arranged towards the rear of dwellings. Staff consider the amenity space to be sufficient and as a matter of judgement, that the garden area is large enough to be practical for day to day use and with the provision of fencing, screened from general public views and access will be providing private and usable garden areas. As a result, it is considered on balance that the proposed amenity area is acceptable in this instance.
- 6.3.4 The residential density range for this site is 30 50 units per hectare. The proposal would result in a density of approximately 80 units per hectare which is in excess of the density range. Although the density is in excess of the recommended range it is considered acceptable given the nature, scale and siting of the development and the suitability of the amenity area. Members may however reach a different conclusion in this respect and may consider the proposal to be overdevelopment of the site.
- 6.3.5 In terms of the general site layout, the proposed dwelling would have sufficient spacing towards the front with sufficient amenity area towards the rear. The layout of the site is therefore considered acceptable.
- 6.4 Impact on Local Character and Street Scene
- 6.4.1 Policy DC61 of the LDF Development Plan Document seeks to ensure that new developments are satisfactorily located and are of a high standard of design and layout. Furthermore, the appearance of new developments should be compatible with the character of the surrounding area, and should not prejudice the environment of the occupiers and adjacent properties. Policy DC61 of the DPD states that planning permission will only be granted for development which maintains, enhances or improves the character and appearance of the local area.
- 6.4.2 The surrounding area consists of mainly two-storey semi-detached properties. Although the proposal would result in changing the semi-detached pair to a row of terraces, Staff do not consider this to out of keeping in the streetscene and surrounding area as the proposal would maintain the same design features as the existing semi-detached pair and would match the donor properties front and rear building lines. There are also terraced properties within Hamilton Avenue, to the east of the site.
- 6.4.3 In terms of its design and visual appearance, Staff are of the opinion that the development of the proposed terraced dwellings in this location would have an acceptable appearance with no harmful impact to the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The width of the proposed dwelling is comparable with existing properties and other houses in the culde-sac have extended close to the site boundaries. Therefore, Staff are of the opinion that the proposal would not appear as a cramped form of development and overall would have an acceptable design and

appearance, therefore compliant with the aims and objectives of Policy DC61 of the Local Development Framework.

- 6.5 Impact on Amenity
- 6.5.1 Policy DC61 considers that new developments should not materially reduce the degree of privacy enjoyed by the occupants of adjoining properties or have an unreasonably adverse effect on sunlight and daylight to adjoining properties.
- 6.5.2 Although the proposal would be situated on the boundary with the detached neighbour at No. 30 Hamilton Avenue, Staff do not consider the proposal to result in an unacceptable impact on this neighbour in terms of overlooking as there are no windows proposed to the flank elevation. Any impact in terms of loss of light and outlook are also considered acceptable as there is a single storey side extension of approximately 3.3m wide situated to the south-eastern boundary. The neighbour at No. 30 Hamilton Avenue also has an existing single storey rear projection which will be broadly in line with the rear building line of the new dwelling.
- 6.5.3 Overlooking towards the rear is also considered acceptable as it is a similar arrangement than that of the existing donor property with a minimum separation distance of approximately 21m between the new dwelling and the dwellings along Ashmour Gardens.
- 6.5.4 Overall, no harmful levels of overshadowing or overlooking are considered to occur as a result of the proposed terraced dwelling.
- 6.5.5 In terms of general noise and disturbance, it is not considered that the addition of a further family dwelling would give rise to any undue levels of noise and disturbance to the surrounding neighbouring properties within what is a predominantly residential area.
- 6.5.6 It should however be noted that although Staff consider the proposal to be acceptable in its current form, given the size of the proposed residential development in relation to the resultant plot space and the relationship of the development to neighbouring properties, any additions, extensions or alterations to the dwelling may result in harm to the character of the surrounding area and neighbouring amenity. In light of this, Staff are of the opinion that all permitted development rights for the proposed development should be removed in order to safeguard the appearance of the street scene and amenities of neighbouring occupiers.
- 6.5.7 It is therefore considered that the layout, siting and design of the proposed development would be acceptable with no material harmful impact on the amenities of neighbouring properties. The development is therefore considered to comply with the aims and objectives of Policies CP17 and DC61 of the LDF Development Control Policies DPD in respect of its impact on neighbouring amenity.

#### 6.6 Highways / Parking Issues

- 6.6.1 Policy DC33 in respect of car parking refers to the density matrix in Policy DC2. The site has a PTAL rating of 1-2 and therefore requires 2 1.5 parking spaces per unit for a development of this type in Romford. The development would provide a total of 3 No. parking spaces. In terms of the number of spaces proposed, the provision of off-street parking spaces would comply with the requirements of Policy DC33 and no issues are raised in this respect. Highways have raised no objection to the proposal.
- 6.6.2 A condition would be added to provide storage for 2 x no. cycle space per dwelling in order to comply with the Council's standards.
- 6.6.3 In light of the above, the proposal is considered to satisfy the requirements of Policy DC2 and DC33 and would not result in any highway or parking issues.
- 6.7 The Mayor's Community Infrastructure Levy
- 6.7.1 The proposed development is liable for the Mayor's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in accordance with London Plan Policy 8.3. The applicable fee is based on the increase in the internal gross floor area which amounts to 45.3m<sup>2</sup> and equates to a Mayoral CIL payment of £906. (subject to indexation).
- 6.8. Planning Obligations
- 6.8.1 In accordance with the Draft Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document a financial contribution of £6,000 per dwelling to be used towards infrastructure costs arising from the new development is required. This should be secured through a S106 Agreement for the amount of £6,000
- 6.9 Other Issues
- 6.9.1 With regards to refuse collection, similar to other dwellings in the Borough, future occupiers would be required to leave refuse bags close to the highway on collection days.
- 6.9.2 Two small trees will be removed to the front of the dwellings. The subject tree are not protected trees and Staff therefore raise no objection to the loss of these trees.
- 6.9.3 Concerns raised regarding loss of property value, and inadequate sewerage provision are not material planning considerations. Staff also do not consider the proposal to result in an unacceptable increase in local air and noise pollution given the size of the development.

# 7. Conclusion

7.1 Overall, Staff are of the opinion that the proposal would not detract from the character of the surrounding area or neighbouring properties. It is considered that the proposal presents an acceptable degree of spacing between buildings and is not considered to appear as unacceptably dominant or visually intrusive as seen from neighbour's rear gardens. It is considered that the proposal would not have any materially harmful impact on neighbouring amenity. Staff have considered the amenity space provision to be acceptable on balance however Members may wish to reach a different conclusion in this respect. Overall, Staff consider the development to comply with the aims, objectives and provisions of Policy DC61 and the provisions of the LDF Development Plan Document. Approval is recommended accordingly.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

## Financial Implications and risks:

Financial contributions are required through a legal agreement.

#### Legal Implications and risks:

Legal resources will be required to prepare and complete the legal agreement.

#### Human Resource Implications:

None

#### **Equalities and Social Inclusion Implications:**

The Council's planning policies are implemented with regard to Equalities and Diversity.

# **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

1. Application forms and plans received 24/03/14; amended plans received 16/04/14.